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Reviewer's report:

- Major Compulsory Revisions
  1. I have concerns about the diagnosis of asthma and the large number of participants who were older than 65 years and comprised 12.1% of the study. With 50% of the participants being included without spirometry, how was COPD identified? Such a possible large number could produce a type 2 error.
  2. As I am not familiar with the payment system it would be helpful to know something of the monetary return for providing or not providing spirometry.

- Minor Essential Revisions
Writing style is excellent and I did not find any typos or other glaring errors.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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