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Attached are my responses to the 9 points of the guidelines for assessment.

**Major Compulsory Revisions** - none

**Minor Essential Revisions** - See point 2 on reporting methods and point 7 on the citing of the article they published this year.

**Discretionary Revisions** - See point 3 about possible variations in responses of GPs by age and gender.
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