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Reviewer's report:

Much improved since initial submission all of my comments/concerns have been addressed to my satisfaction.

Some suggestions for the final draft...

Abstract Line 61 "of" hospital doctors not "with" hospital doctors?

Background Line 110 "situational" awareness not situation awareness

Background Line 125 "severely" lacking; I feel uncomfortable with severely; there is a huge emphasis on consultation skills in GP training and much of this is ultimately about "avoiding harm" through acts of omission or commission and supporting/navigating patients through a systems of care that are not what be should be! What is lacking is the widespread appreciation of/explicit involvement in safety proofing processes within primary care and recognition (through commissioning roles) of the need to quality/safety assure entire care pathways.

Background Line 126, suggest replace significant event analysis (SEA) with incident investigation; few GPs will get to partnership level without exposure to SEA.

Methods Line 151-154. This needs a reference and might even justify further expansion (or detail in an Appendix. I have never understood that GPES went through (additional) modular training. nor that they were required to demonstrate understanding of and support SEA.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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