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Reviewer's report:

1. Title: The title is adequate.
2. Abstract: The abstract is adequately addressed.
3. Introduction: The introduction is adequate.
4. Materials and Methods: This section is presented clearly,
5. Results: The results are clearly presented and adequately addressed.
6. Discussion: The discussion is well written and adequately addressed.
7. Contribution to the literature: The authors should provide key points and the contribution of current study to literature and what messages are provided with the present study?
8. Limitations: The authors should report some of the limitations of this study in detailed.
9. Conclusions: The discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data.

In conclusion, this study is well written and is well designed. The current study addresses an important issues concerning morbidity estimates between general practice registration networks underlying mechanism as important public health topic in a very thorough and yet concise manner. Although, the study does not contribute novel knowledge or add sufficiently to the current literature, but, it may help policy makers.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.