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Reviewer's report:

Makor essential
Details of the methods used are not described in sufficient details to allow the work to be critically evaluated reproduced elsewhere.
1. The literature review needs to define the databases used in the text. Figure 1 suggests only Pumed was used and there are insufficient details of the data extraction and synthesis process.
2. The development process for the schema are not described.
3. The final model development process is not described.

Minor essential
Typo in 2nd line of 5th paragraph of the discussion

Discretionary

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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