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Reviewer's report:

Dear Dr Owens, Dr Wooten and Dr Tavakoli

In my opinion you have responded comprehensively to the comments I had made about the original manuscript.

The title change positions the research more correctly and makes room for further rigorous research based on your work.

The additions to the background lend further depth to the manuscript and really showcase your understanding of the subject matter.

The additions to the method give credit to the work that had been performed in support of scale development.

The additions to the discussion contribute to embedding this research in existing literature and I believe that the conclusion of this research is supported by the results.

I agree with the deletion of table 5.

This is an interesting article and I feel that this research is of interest to readers of this journal. I wish you well in your further research.

Yours sincerely

Margot
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
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