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Reviewer’s report:

Overall this paper is good and I think will be a helpful contribution to the literature for people who want to conduct research in a clinical setting.

Summary - there is good inter- and intra-observer reliability of height, weight, and length measurements between trained research staff and clinical team members in pediatric clinic settings. Good attempts were made to blind the process.

Minor suggestions:

Line 12, add clarification "relative to measurements taken by trained personnel."

Line 17/18: move "in primary care practice" to behind "precise measure."

Lines 18/19: Change language around overweight or obesity to the now standard patient-first language: "if a child has overweight or obesity." Rather than if a child "is overweight or obese." We don't want to define people by their weight, just like we try not to define patients by their disease ("patients with diabetes" rather than "diabetic patients.") Make the change to patient-centered language throughout the manuscript.

Line 21: add patient concern, "patient and parental concern."

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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