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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Ms. Menard,

We have attended to all of the action items below, and have submitted a single clean copy of the revised manuscript.

1. Please include the email addresses for all authors on the title page. The corresponding author should still be indicated.

2. Please move the Keywords to after the Abstract.

3. Please remove this from the title page: "Word count of text (not including Figures, Tables, Abstract, List of Abbreviations, or
4. The individual contributions of ALL authors to the manuscript should be specified in the Authors’ Contributions section. Guidance and criteria for authorship can be found here:

http://www.biomedcentral.com/submissions/editorial-policies#authorship

5. Please confirm whether your study was submitted to and approved by your institutional ethics committee and include a statement to this effect in your Methods and Ethics approval and consent to participate sections. Please also ensure that the full name of your ethics committee is included in this statement. If the need for ethics approval was waived by an IRB or is deemed unnecessary according to national regulations, please clearly state this, including the name of the IRB or a reference to the relevant legislation.

6. Please confirm whether informed consent, written or verbal, was obtained from all participants and clearly state this in your Methods and Ethics approval and consent to participate sections. If verbal, please state the reason and whether the ethics committee approved this procedure. If the need for consent was waived by an IRB or is deemed unnecessary according to national regulations, please clearly state this, including the name of the IRB or a reference to the relevant legislation.

7. Please remove the response to reviewers' comments letter, as it is no longer needed at this stage. Please do not upload a new letter with this revision.

8. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.