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Demonstrating rigour in thematic analysis; a case study of code book development
Kate Roberts; Tony Dowell; Jing-Bao Nie

BMC Medical Research Methodology

Dear Dr Livia Puljak,

Thank you for the opportunity to resubmit the afore mentioned article. The comments from both yourself and the reviewers were extremely valuable and indeed have enabled me to strengthen this piece of work.

Please find attached the two revised article which has been updated to reflect the advice from reviewers. The summary of changes made can be found below.

Best wishes,

Kate Roberts
Summary of changes made;

-Abstract:
Sentence fragment 'This analysis formed part of a mixed methods multiphase design PhD project' - revise into 'This analysis formed part of a mixed methods multiphase design research project'
- Change made

-Main text:
Sentence fragment 'The codebook development discussed in this article formed part of a PhD project' revise into: 'The codebook development discussed in this article formed part of a PhD research project'
- Changed to “The codebook development discussed in this article formed part of a mixed methods multiphase design research project” to match the abstract

Sentence fragment 'This PhD, (which is nearing completion at the time of this publication), was a mixed methods' - please revise into: 'This research project was a mixed methods...'
- Change made

Please provide legends for the tables; indicate the number of the table and its legend on top of the table.
-Changes made