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Reviewer’s report:

Study is well performed and well documented. Few comments:

1) There seems to be a problem with Q14 and Q15. I see it well presented in the analysis and in the CFA in figure 2. It is unclear however why this is and what the recommendation is to included in the Norwegian version. It would be recommendable to rerun the CFA without the 2 variables to see if the psychometric properties are improving.


3) An important concept in the cross-cultural comparability is measurement invariance evaluation: can we compare the Norwegian data with the original data. A difference is made in Configural invariance (identical factor structures across groups), Metric invariance (equality of factor loadings across groups) and Scalar invariance (equality in factor scores and intercepts). See also Bruyneel L et al., Bayesian Multilevel MIMIC Modeling for Studying Measurement Invariance in Cross-group Comparisons. Med Care. 2017 Apr;55(4):e25-e35. Please report what level of measurement invariance is obtained and if cross-cultural comparability is obtained.
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