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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editors:

Thank you very much for your letter and comments on our manuscript entitled “The Chinese version of Attitudes towards guidelines scale: Validity and reliability assessment” (ID: BMRM-D-18-00319). Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval. Revised portion are marked in red in the paper. We look forward to hearing from you soon.

With best wishes,

Responds to the editor’s comments are as flowing:

Comments: The revision does not sufficiently address the concerns raised by the reviewers, particularly Reviewer 2. Your current analyses do not support a total score. Your analyses indicated 4 factors, not a single factor. A total composite score does not have internal validity, at least based on the analyses you have done to date. Either, additionally latent variable modeling is needed to demonstrate support for a single score (and specific scoring guidance based on the empirical results of those analyses) or the paper's conclusions need to change.

Response: Thanks for your comments. We feel sorry for not sufficiently addressing the concerns raised by the reviewers. After reading some related references and books, the data were analyzed
again. The results show that there are no suitable fit indices for the unidimensional or bi-factor model, as shown in Table 2. So we changed the paper’s conclusions. Thank you again for your comments.