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November 14, 2017

Catherine M. Rice, PhD
BMC Medical Research Methodology
Senior Executive Editor
BioMed Central

Dear Dr. Rice:
Thank you for agreeing to consider the revised version of manuscript BMRM-D-17-00281R1 entitled "Abstract analysis method facilitates filtering low methodological quality and high bias risk systematic reviews concerning psoriasis interventions" as potentially acceptable for publication in BMC Medical Research Methodology, once you we carried out some essential revisions suggested by the reviewers.

Below, we address all of the reviewer #1 comments point-by-point and present our subsequent modifications.

On behalf of all co-authors,

Juan Ruano

Department of Dermatology
IMIBIC/University of Cordoba
Reina Sofia University Hospital
Córdoba, Spain
P: +34-957-011-214
C: +34-606-887-057
F: +34-957-011-214

BMRM-D-17-00281R2

Abstract analysis method facilitates filtering low-methodological quality and high-bias risk systematic reviews on psoriasis interventions

Francisco Gómez-García, MD; Juan Ruano; Macarena Aguilar-Luque, BSc; Patricia Alcalde-Mellado, MD; Jesús Gay-Mimbrera, BSc; José Luis Hernández-Romero, MD; Juan Luis Sanz-Cabanillas, MD; Beatriz Maestre-López, MD; Marcelino González-Padilla, MD, PhD; Pedro Jesús Carmona-Fernández, BSN; Antonio Vélez García-Nieto, MD, PhD; Beatriz Isla-Tejera, PharmD, PhD

BMC Medical Research Methodology

Dear Dr. Ruano,

Your manuscript "Abstract analysis method facilitates filtering low-methodological quality and high-bias risk systematic reviews on psoriasis interventions" (BMRM-D-17-00281R2) has been assessed by our reviewers. Based on these reports, and my own assessment as Editor, I am
pleased to inform you that it is potentially acceptable for publication in BMC Medical Research Methodology, once you have carried out some essential revisions suggested by our reviewers.

Their reports, together with any other comments, are below. Please also take a moment to check our website at http://bmrm.edmgr.com/ for any additional comments that were saved as attachments.

Once you have made the necessary corrections, please submit a revised manuscript online at:

http://bmrm.edmgr.com/

If you have forgotten your username or password please use the "Send Login Details" link to get your login information. For security reasons, your password will be reset.

We request that a point-by-point response letter accompanies your revised manuscript. This letter must provide a detailed response to each reviewer/editorial point raised, describing what amendments have been made to the manuscript text and where these can be found (e.g. Methods section, line 12, page 5). If you disagree with any comments raised, please provide a detailed rebuttal to help explain and justify your decision.

Please also ensure that your revised manuscript conforms to the journal style, which can be found in the Instructions for Authors on the journal homepage.

A decision will be made once we have received your revised manuscript, which we expect by 03 Dec 2017.

Please note that you will not be able to add, remove, or change the order of authors once the editor has accepted your manuscript for publication. Any proposed changes to the authorship must be requested during peer-review, and adhere to our criteria for authorship as outlined in BioMed Central's policies. To request a change in authorship, please download the 'Request for change in authorship form' which can be found here - http://www.biomedcentral.com/about/editorialpolicies#authorship. Please note that incomplete forms will be rejected. Your request will be taken into consideration by the editor, and you will be advised whether any changes will be permitted. Please be aware that we may investigate, or ask your institute to investigate, any unauthorized attempts to change authorship or discrepancies in authorship between the submitted and revised versions of your manuscript.

We look forward to receiving your revised manuscript and please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions.

Best wishes,

Catherine Rice
Editor Comments:

Q1 - In the Funding section of the Declarations please indicate the role of the funding body in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript. If no specific funding was received for this study, please clearly indicate this in the Funding section.

R1: As suggested, brief paragraph has been added at the Funding section of the manuscript: “Funding sources did not participated in the design of the study, collection, analysis, and interpretation of data nor neither in writing the manuscript. “

Q2 - Please include a statement in the Authors' contributions section to the effect that all authors have read and approved the manuscript, and ensure that this is the case.

R2: The statement “all authors have read and approved the manuscript” have been included at Author's contributions section as suggested. (pg 8, ln 14-15)

Q3 - Please include an 'Ethics approval and consent to participate' section in the Declarations.

R3: We had been included the statement “Since our study did not collect primary data, no formal ethical assessment or informed consent were required” at the Ethical considerations subsection of the Methods section in former version of the manuscript. As suggested, we will add this sentence to the “Ethics approval and consent to participate” item of the Declarations.

Q4 - At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethrough or text in different colours. All relevant tables and figures should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files. Should you wish to respond to these revision requests, please include the information in the designated input box only.

R4: Finally, we would like to thank both reviewers and editor for their insightful comments, and for your very and careful review of our paper. After completion of the suggested edits, the revised manuscript has tremendously benefitted from an improvement in the overall clarity of the presentation.
BMC Medical Research Methodology operates a policy of open peer review, which means that you will be able to see the names of the reviewers who provided the reports via the online peer review system. We encourage you to also view the reports there, via the action links on the left-hand side of the page, to see the names of the reviewers.

Reviewer reports:

If improvements to the English language within your manuscript have been requested, you should have your manuscript reviewed by someone who is fluent in English. If you would like professional help in revising this manuscript, you can use any reputable English language editing service. We can recommend our affiliates Nature Research Editing Service (http://bit.ly/NRES_BS) and American Journal Experts (http://bit.ly/AJE_BS) for help with English usage. Please note that use of an editing service is neither a requirement nor a guarantee of publication. Free assistance is available from our English language tutorial (https://www.springer.com/gb/authors-editors/authorandreviewertutorials/writinginenglish) and our Writing resources (http://www.biomedcentral.com/getpublished/writing-resources). These cover common mistakes that occur when writing in English.

---------------------Editorial Policies---------------------

Please read the following information and revise your manuscript as necessary. If your manuscript does not adhere to our editorial requirements, this may cause a delay while this is addressed. Failure to adhere to our policies may result in rejection of your manuscript.

In accordance with BioMed Central editorial policies and formatting guidelines, all manuscript submissions to BMC Medical Research Methodology must contain a Declarations section which includes the mandatory sub-sections listed below. Please refer to the journal's Submission Guidelines web page for information regarding the criteria for each sub-section (https://bmcmedresmethodol.biomedcentral.com/).

Where a mandatory Declarations section is not relevant to your study design or article type, please write "Not applicable" in these sections.

For the 'Availability of data and materials' section, please provide information about where the data supporting your findings can be found. We encourage authors to deposit their datasets in publicly available repositories (where available and appropriate), or to be presented within the manuscript and/or additional supporting files. Please note that identifying/confidential patient data should not be shared. Authors who do not wish to share their data must confirm this under this sub-heading and also provide their reasons. For further guidance on how to format this section, please refer to BioMed Central's editorial policies page (see links below).

Declarations
- Ethics approval and consent to participate
- Consent to publish
- Availability of data and materials
- Competing interests
- Funding
- Authors' Contributions
- Acknowledgements

Further information about our editorial policies can be found at the following links:

Ethical approval and consent:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/about/editorialpolicies#Ethics

Availability of data and materials section:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/submissions/editorial-policies#availability+of+data+and+materials