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Reviewer's report:

Minor essential revisions:
The authors carefully addressed all issues raised in the previous report.

Now the methods section is clear and I could deduce clearly what analysis is done for which result. Based on that I have only one suggestion left. In the methods section there is a paragraph on calculating the potential coverage rate. This is calculated and reported in the results section. However, the potential coverage rate is not part of the research question, is not mentioned in the abstract nor discussed in the conclusion/discussion part. Therefore I suggest to remove in the methods section the paragraph 'The potential coverage rate... reported' and the results related to this issue.

Discretionary revisions:
- p10: delete the sentence: 'The model presented'.
- p12: change table 1 into Table 1
- p12: change table 3 into Table 3

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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