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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear editor,

I received two comments.

1) Many thanks for confirming that 'approval' for your study was waived, however please confirm in the section 'Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate' whether informed consent, written or verbal, was obtained from all participants and clearly state this in your manuscript. If verbal, please state the reason and whether the ethics committee approved this procedure. If the need for consent was waived by an IRB or is deemed unnecessary according to national regulations, please clearly state this, including the name of the IRB or a reference to the relevant legislation.

---&gt; I have asked the editor if my adjustments (as presented in the uploaded manuscript) were sufficient. The answer was: "This is to inform you that we received a response email from the Senior Editor that the statement below in your email is now clear enough."

2) At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.
I have contacted the editor, and received the following message: "Kindly disregard the second point".

Therefore, I hope the manuscript is now ready for consideration.

Kind regards,

Linda Huibers