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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear editors,

Thank you for this opportunity to revise our manuscript

Thank you for your considerations.

Sincerely,

Corresponding Author
Mohamed Abou Chakra, MD.
Lebanese University, Urology department, Beirut, Lebanon.
Editor Comments:

Comment 1:

- If abbreviations are used in the text they should be defined in the text at first use, and a list of abbreviations should be provided in the Declarations.

Response 1:

A list of abbreviations was provided.

Comment 2:

Many thanks for confirming in the section 'Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate', that the informed consent obtained was verbal. If verbal, please state the reason and whether the ethics committee approved this procedure.

Response 2:

We have now clarified this issue in the "Ethics approval and consent to participate” section.

Comment 3:

- Please also add a section “Supplementary files” where you list the following information about your supplementary material:

  - File name
  - Title of data
  - Description of data

Response 3:

Done

Comment 4:

- Thank you for providing a response to the reviewers/COREQ document. As these documents are no longer required at this stage of the publication process, please remove them from your submission’s supplementary files.
Response 4:

Done

Comment 5:

At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

Response 5:

Done