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Reviewer's report:

Tochie present an interesting case of Viperidae envenomation with a fatal outcome. I have the following comments

Background

Page 2 line 56"Snake bites kill more people each year than either poliomyelitis or measles." This sentence needs a reference.

Page 3 line 2: Scarce instead of scare? Line 7: Metalloproteinase instead of metalloprotein?

Case

Page 3 line 13: shock in this case might be of anaphylactic, or septic origin, or both.

Line 34: Vertigo is a sensation of spinning dizziness that is related to a problem of the inner ear. Isn't it dizziness instead of vertigo?

Page 4: Glasgow come scale score instead of Glasgow coma score

Discussion:

Page 5: Sepsis as a cause for shock, besides anaphylaxis, should be discussed here.

Page 6 line 13: Proliferative GN is not likely because it takes more time to develop, patient was oligo/anuric from the beginning.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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