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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for the interesting case. A few suggestions:

1) in the case history- it is mentioned that 20 hrs have elapsed since the patient was brought to the hospital, but also that she immediately sought treatment from a local healer. this should be clarified

2) how is it relevant that the patient did not have a snake bite previously ?

3) when mentioned that snakebite causes more deaths than measles and polio-it should be specified what geographic area that refers to

4) a clearer description of clinical state may be beneficial.

5) a brief review of treatment strategies for snakebites may be beneficial to the emergency medicine population. there is some, but this can be expanded

6) the conclusions are too broad-reaching for a case report.

7) how this case report is unique can be emphasized by noting other cases in literature and how this one was similar or different
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
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