Reviewer’s report

Title: A model to predict unstable carotid plaques in population with high risk of stroke

Version: 0 Date: 24 Sep 2019

Reviewer: Erkan Cüre

Reviewer's report:

Major comment1. Authors should discuss their own results better than the available state. There is not enough profundness and detail in the discussion section. For example, why marriage affects stroke. Briefly compare your results with previous studies as positive results. On the other hand, Authors never discussed their own negative results such as HbA1c, homocysteine, smoking, and hypertension. Most of the previous studies reported that these results were associated with stroke. Authors should discuss why they find these values negative.

Minor comments1. Do not use the same results in the discussion section as again the result section. 2. Words must be written first and then abbreviated and words should not be written after the abbreviation is used. There are too many errors about it. 3. ESC guidelines were used for the diagnosis of hypertension by authors. This should be stated in the method section. Because AHA guideline hypertension diagnostic values are different.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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