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PEER REVIEWER ASSESSMENTS:

RELEVANCE - Does this case report make a contribution to medical knowledge, have educational value, or highlight the need for a change in clinical practice or diagnostic/prognostic approaches? Yes, this report contributes to medical knowledge

CASE DESCRIPTION - Are the details of the case sufficiently well described to understand the patient's symptoms and course of treatment? No - there are minor issues

DIAGNOSIS/INTERPRETATION - Based on the facts presented, are the diagnosis, interpretation, and course of treatment medically sound? Yes, the work described is medically sound

DISCUSSION OF THE CASE - Does the discussion appropriately analyse the importance of the findings and their relevance to future understanding of disease processes, diagnosis or treatment? Has an adequate literature review pertinent to the case been included? No - there are minor issues

OVERALL MANUSCRIPT POTENTIAL - Could an appropriately REVISED version of this work represent a technically sound contribution? Probably - with minor revisions

PEER REVIEWER COMMENTS:

GENERAL COMMENTS: This is a carefully written case report on neurogenic orthostatic hypotension, in a patient eventually diagnosed with Parkinson disease. The vignette is informative and insightful for clinical practitioners.

REQUESTED REVISIONS: Some additional details on the patient could be provided, including ECG, echocardiography, height and weight. In addition, was 24h BP monitoring performed? It would be very nice to have results of such monitoring before and after droxidopa provided. Furthermore, would cardiac MIBG imaging be useful in a case such as this, to demonstrate/explore cardiac dysinnervation?

ADDITIONAL REQUESTS/SUGGESTIONS: See above

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable
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