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Reviewer's report:

This is a very well designed paper that in our opinion has some limitations that are not completely explained by the authors. We are referring to the following aspects:

1) The differences between probable and possible SCD are not clear.

2) Seems to us that to measure the GEH you need to use the Marquette ECG machine. Please, clarify if you can use for that other ECG machines, and in this case, expose that in "Limitations".

3) There is not any mention to the possible role of P wave indices in the association with SD. The ARIC investigators, starting with Magnani, have published different paper about the association of different P wave indices with all types of death.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript
Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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