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Reviewer's report:

Dear Editor,

Manuscript Number BCAR-D-18-00746 entitled "Relationship of Aldehyde Dehydrogenase 2(ALDH2) Genotypes and In-stent Restenosis in Chinese Han Patients after Percutaneous Coronary Intervention" has been reviewed. First of all I want to thank the writers of this article for their planning and performed such an important study. I would like to congratulate for your work. The study and methodology of the study is well-designed. Results are consistent with the literature. I think that the important results have been achieved and that these results are a serious contribution to the literature. This work will contribute to intensive research in cardiovascular diseases I think.

My comments are included at the bottom of this letter.

1. It appears to be that 2 and 16 references are written the same. It needs to be corrected.
2. It appears to be that 17 and 18 references are written the same. It needs to be corrected.
3. I have not found the articles mentioned in the second (2nd reference) and seventeenth (17th reference) references in the literature. I've scanned 'Pubmed' and 'Google' but I haven't found these articles.
4. The 'Reference' section of the article should be written in the 'Word' format and should be rearranged according to the journal's writing rules.
5. In the 'Abstract' section of the 'Conclusion' section, the word 'postoperative' was misused in the sentence " but it was a significant association with this post operative ". The word 'after the procedure' is would be more appropriate.
As a conclusion I think this paper can be evaluated after revisions.

Best regards.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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