Author’s response to reviews

Title: Homocysteine and education but not lipoprotein (a) predict estimated 10-Year risk of cardiovascular disease in blood donors. A community based cross-sectional study

Authors:

Francesco Vadini (francesco.vadini@gmail.com)

Francesca Santilli (f.santilli@unich.it; fra.santilli22@gmail.com)

Giuseppe Casalini (giuseppe.casalini@ausl.pe.it)

Mario Dell'Isola (mariodellisola@alice.it)

Ornella Iuliani (ornella.iuliani@ausl.pe.it)

Damiano D'Ardes (damianomatrix89@msn.com)

Luisa Lattanzio (lattanzioluisa@gmail.com)

Marta De Nicola (mdinicola@unich.it)

Giancarlo Di Iorio (giancarlo.diorio@ausl.pe.it)

Patrizia Accorsi (patrizia.accorsi@ausl.pe.it)

Version: 2 Date: 09 Jul 2019

Author’s response to reviews:

Editor Comments:

1. In the Ethics approval section of the Declarations, please add a reference to the local guidelines that state approval by an ethics committee is not required for this type of study. In the same section, please also state whether consent obtained from participants was written or verbal.

R: Data were collected as part of the usual clinical practice. However, the study protocol was ethically approved by the local institutional review board forming part of the Department of Oncology Hematology in the Spirito Santo Hospital of Pescara. All data obtained in the course of the study were reserved confidential and used only for this study. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants or their legal guardians in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.
2. Please remove the cover from the file inventory, as it is no longer needed at this stage of the editorial process.

R: done

3. While assessing your manuscript in-house, we found several instances where the text displayed similarities to text found in other previously published sources. While we understand that you may wish to express some of the same ideas contained in these publications, please be aware that we cannot condone the use of text from previously published work. We would therefore be grateful if you could reformulate the sections listed below to resolve the overlap between your manuscript and other sources. Please ensure that, where relevant, these sources are also referenced as appropriate:

Methods, lines 130-141:


R: Description of the CUORE project and risk score has been reformulated.

Discussion, lines 269-274:


R. the sentences have been rephrased. The reference is already cited as reference 12.

4. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colors. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

R: done