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Reviewer's report:

Considero su estudio muy interesante. Sin embargo, creo que usted debe hacer algunas modificaciones en la forma de presentar el modelo de regresión logística para ajustarse a las recomendaciones TRIPOD (Informes Transparentes de un modelo de predicción multivariable para el Pronóstico o Diagnóstico Individual: La Declaración TRIPOD Link: http://annals.org/objetivo/artículo/2088549/transparent-reporting-multivariable-predicción-modelo-individual-pronóstico-diagnóstico-trípode-trípode)

En este contexto considero como prioridades:

- informar su modelo final completo, y no sólo las variables estadísticamente significativas
- si es posible, antes del modelo final debe reportar la asociación no ajustada entre cada predictor y resultado del candidato
- Reportar cualquier medida de rendimiento (con IC) para el modelo de predicción

Finalmente, y para que sea más fácil para sus lectores extrapolar sus hallazgos a su práctica diaria, sería bueno reportar el grado de experiencia de los equipos que realizaron la implantación de TAVI

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown? If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review? If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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Quality of written English
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