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Reviewer reports:

Alexandre Stewart (Reviewer 2): The authors now cite the Ye et al. study (2015) on line 23 of the results section (pages are not numbered), but since the Ye et al. study is already published, they did not confirm the findings of the Chen et al. manuscript, rather Chen et al. confirmed the findings of Ye et al.

It remains unclear whether the authors corrected for multiple testing in the various associations. They do not mention this in their statistical methods. If they did not correct for multiple testing, then they should say so. The observations are nominal, given their small sample sizes.

Thanks for your comments on our paper. We have revised our paper according to your comments, and revised portion are marked in yellow in the paper:

1. Sentences have been revised on line 23 of the results section. We used word ‘explored’ instead of ‘confirmed’.

2. We have corrected for multiple testing in the various associations in our study. Sentences have been revised in our statistical methods.
3. These limitations must be considered. Our analysis only includes studies from Chinese Han population and has small sample sizes. Discussion section has been revised.