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Reviewer’s report:

Major revisions
1. The quality of written English still needs to be improved in many parts of the paper to improve clarity.
2. Please state somewhere that the participants in the 3 studies gave consent and were ethically approved (apologies if I missed this)
3. In section 2.1 at the end, definitions are given for 'high risk'. Please also state here exactly what each equation predicts as this is crucial information.
4. I do not fully understand the use of the ROC curves in this manuscript. I have referred this for a statistical opinion to check that this is needed and that it is a correct approach to take.

Level of interest: An article of limited interest

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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