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Reviewer's report:

- First of all, I would like to thank the authors for this nice article
  This issue is highly important nowadays, especially after increasing the indications of coronary angiography and intervention
- I have no major comments
- Minor comments
  1. The question posed by the authors is well defined
  2. The methods are appropriate inspite of small sample size and absence of randomization of patients, but this was stated by the authors in the limitations.
  3. Sound data.
  4. The manuscript adheres to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition
  5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data?
  6. Clear limitations of the work.
  7. Nice acknowledgement.
  8. The title and abstract accurately convey.
  9. Acceptable writing with few editing mistakes
     for example:- line 95 : patients who opt for angioseal
     - line 118: setting (what is meant by it?)
  10. The authors should mention the standards of puncture site complications (according to which guideline?)
  11. The results are better written as a whole without minititles

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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