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Thanks for sending me the manuscript for reviewing. The authors have studied the effect of selective axillary nerve block for arthroscopic subacromial decompression and compared with standard and looked for analgesia efficacy.

Methods:

Outcome measures: Please provide clearly and specifically primary and secondary outcome measures. Provide objective definition or description of these outcome measures including how and when they were assessed.

Sample Size: please provide complete details of the sample size estimation along with its requisite details like primary outcome (based on which sample size is calculated), effect size, power, accepted errors etc depending on the type of the study. Presently the reference mentioned have not compared the similar techniques and hence may not be appropriate. Please clarify.

Please provide details of ventilator strategies and wheather any volatile agent was used.

Concealment: Please provide technique and method of allocation concealment.

Blinding: Please provide the type of blinding and how was it ensured.

Results, Tables and Graphs:

Please use group nomenclature uniformly throughout the study.

Please discuss the limitations and a large number of patients with escape block and its impact on study conclusion.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?  
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?  
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?  
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?  
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable
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