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Reviewer's report:

Congratulations on the great effort reviewing the literature extensively to find the RCT'S

Well written except for few required elaborations and grammar corrections.

Page 3 line 53 - The blocks can not be described as for Anesthesia, there are only for analgesia.
   line 56-59 - Need better sentence formation.

Page 4 line 6 Use reduce the amount of opioid consumed or user rather than the dose of opioid.

Page 13 ? what is meant by under body surface (That is not needed at all)

Page 14 line 9 "It" what is meant by that, what originates from the thoracolumbar nerves..Describe.. It can't be TAP plane right?
   line 37 Psoas quadrates muscle? Be specific where exactly the injection was described.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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