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Reviewer's report:

This manuscript needs to be reviewed by a native English speaker for grammar, spelling, syntax, clarity, conciseness, etc.

The entire manuscript is written in a mix of the first- and third-persons (example: '2 authors...' vs. 'we...'). One person/viewpoint (preferably the third-person) needs to be selected and carried throughout the manuscript. Having a native English speaker review the manuscript will help with this.

Several of the graphs/tables are unreadable. Please reformat/use larger font so reviewers and readers are easily able to see the information being presented.

I recommend to only include tables and figures that add value and enhance the text of the manuscript. This version has numerous figures and tables, some of which I believe can be removed (figure 2, table 3, table 4).

Under abbreviations, the authors state, 'no abbreviations in the text were used'. However, there are numerous abbreviations throughout the manuscript which need to be defined.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess
Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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