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Reviewer's report:

This is an interesting and nicely done study and authors should be commended. My main remarks are minor issues and are about data presentation.

1. Data are analysed with parametric statistics. Are authors sure of the normal distribution? This should be verified otherwise median (IQR) and non-parametric stat should be used.

2. Groups should be directly identified rather than using letters (this may be confusing for the reader).

3. Some main data may be better presented in graphs rather than in tables.

4. Undoubtedly, the interest of DEX is especially high for infants and small children: this should be commented in discussion and identified as future research steps.

English shall be revised by a native English speaking colleague or a professional service

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?  
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?  
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English  
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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