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Reviewer's report:

P3 line 3
"Prediction rule of D1 with robin sequence will possibly be established" - this statement makes no sense

P5 line 23
should add 'a' (to find a new method)

P7 line 1
included should read 'including'

P10 line 28
spelling error - shouting should read 'a shorter'

P11 line 1
should read 'a non-radiation method' or 'non-radiation methods'

p12 line 50
should read 'early identification' not early identify

P13 line 36
This statement makes no sense - do you mean to say that patients that had greater than 3 intubation attempts? doesn't make sense that they have had greater than 3 intubations.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
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