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Reviewer's report:

Dear Editor,

The manuscript BANE-D-18-00443R2, entitled, "PRESERVATION OF RENAL FUNCTION IN CARDIAC SURGERY PATIENTS WITH LOW CARDIAC OUTPUT SYNDROME AND RENAL FAILURE: LEVOSIMENDAN VS BETA AGONISTS" is revised by authors. Majority of my concerns are replied by authors, but, following few points are not applied in the text as replied by authors.

The abstract version is similar to the original one and I could not see the reported changes!

Abstract

The aim of study in the background is not matched with the title and method of study.

Method:

The type of study should be reflected in the abstract method.

Conclusion: Please change the conclusion as you did in the main manuscript.

Method

How were your routine assessment methods and protocols for detecting LCOS? When and how you checked the cardiac output?

Results

Please mention the regression results in the manuscript.

Regards.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable

Declaration of competing interests
Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?
6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

'I declare that I have no competing interests' below.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.
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