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Author’s response to reviews:

We would like to thank the Editor for his valuable feedback on the revised manuscript and for accepting our manuscript for publication. Kindly find below a detailed response to the Editor’s and Reviewer’s points raised and the amendments that have been made in the revised manuscript.

Technical Comments:

1. CONSORT

Please include a statement within your Methods section to indicate that your study adheres to CONSORT guidelines.

The following sentence was added to the third line of the Methods section in the revised manuscript to read: “The study adheres to the CONSORT guidelines”.

2. Clean manuscript

At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All
relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files. Please ensure that all figures, tables and additional/supplementary files are cited within the text.

A clean version of the manuscript was uploaded.