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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for clarifying the group characteristics and inclusion/exclusion criteria and including p-values. My comments otherwise are below:

1. The P values listed in the text are helpful in that they describe the relationships between the groups (propofol and metoclopramide vs control and propofol vs metoclopramide). I'm not so sure the p-values in the tables are as helpful as don't comment on the differences between the propofol and metoclopramide groups.

2. On page 11, referring to the table describing the incidence and % of hypotension relative to baseline may be more clear than writing out the findings. I found that paragraph difficult to read.

3. When reporting incidences of PONV or pruritus in the text, it may be less verbose to write about those who had these symptoms (and not also those who didn't)

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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