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Reviewer's report:

Thanks for giving me the chance to review this case report. The case sounds interesting and is worth considering, however some rephrasing might help to clarify the message more.

The title:

To an extent seems to be misleading and doesn't support the take-home message of the report. To me, the message is; patients with recently diagnosed pulmonary hypertension are more likely to survive transplantation based on the relative reversibility of their disease. This should be well elaborated in the title esp that PHT is considered a contraindication to surgery.

Case:

I did not understand what authors meant by the age of the patient being 45-50

Discussion:

In general is quiet redundant and needs to focus on the main learning points in the case; e.g. the principle diagnostic tool in this case is TOE, this should be clear in the discussion esp with the inaccuracies encountered with the PAC in the presence of severe TR.

Again, reversibility is the key of success in this case and every effort should be made to stress on this key player.
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