Author’s response to reviews

Title: Comparison of Supreme laryngeal mask airway versus endotracheal intubation for airway management during general anesthesia for cesarean section: a randomized controlled trial

Authors:

Wei Yu Yao (ywyzxy@sina.com)
Shi Yang Li (fen7679@sina.com)
Yong Jin Yuan (yyjwy@sina.com)
Hon Sen Tan (honsen.tan@mohh.com.sg)
Nian-Lin Han (han.nianlin@kkh.com.sg)
Rehena Sultana (rehena.sultana@duke-nus.edu.sg)
Pryseley Assam (pryseley.an@hotmail.com)
Alex Tiong Heng Sia (alex.sia.t.h@singhealth.com.sg)
Ban Leong SNG (sng.ban.leong@singhealth.com.sg)

Version: 3 Date: 17 Jun 2019

Author’s response to reviews:

REQUESTED REVISIONS:

There some minor font size issue for the caption of Table 2 and 3. It does not affect my reading. The editorial office might catch it sooner or later. The caption of Table 2 and 3 has a sentence like ""+ refers to type 3 p - value"". I did not see any ""+"" in table 2 and 3. Please remove that sentence."

Thank you for your comment. We have altered the captions of Tables 2 and 3 as requested, and the revised Tables have been submitted.
Additional Editorial Comments:

1. Please include the date of trial registration with the trial registration number in the abstract, under the subheading "Trial Registration". If the date of registration is after the date that the first participant was recruited, please also state ‘retrospectively registered’.

We have edited the Abstract to include the trial registration information as requested.

2. We note that the current submission contains some textual overlap with other previously published works, in particular:

"Supreme™ laryngeal mask airway use in general Anesthesia for category 2 and 3 Cesarean delivery: a prospective cohort study"

This overlap mainly exists in the Methods sections.

While we understand that this is work that you have previously published, and some of the same ideas are contained in these publications, please be aware that we cannot condone the use of text from previously published work. We would therefore be grateful if you could reformulate in your own words the overlap between your manuscript and other sources, where possible. Please also reference this source in this section.

We have rephrased any significant overlap in our current manuscript. In addition, we added the following reference to the third paragraph of the Methods section:

“The induction of anesthesia and SLMA insertion reflects the clinical standard at our study center, and is similar to the method used in our previous study18.”

3. Please remove the response the reviewers and cover letter from the file inventory, as this is no longer needed.

We have removed the files, as requested.

4. Please proofread and ensure that when you upload your revised submission that it is in the final form for publication. Please remove any tracked changes, colored text, or highlighting and include only a single clean copy of the manuscript. Should you wish to respond to these revision requests, please include the information in the designated input box only.

We have confirmed that the revised submission is ready for publication, and have submitted a clean document.