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A prospective, randomized comparison of the LMA-Protector™ and i-gel™ in paralyzed, anesthetized patients

Dear Dr. Danielle Talbot,

We are very glad to hear from you.

According to your comment, we revised the manuscript.

We thank you for your interest and consideration.

Sincerely,

Hwang
Technical Comments:

1. In the Authors Contributions section each author should also be specifically mentioned by their unique initials rather than their full name.

=> According to your advice, we revised it as follows (pg 18).

Authors’ contributions

JEC designed the study, conducted the study, analyzed the data, and wrote and revised the manuscript. HK designed the study, conducted the study, analyzed the data, and helped revise the manuscript. JML designed the study, conducted the study, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. SWM designed the study, conducted the study, analyzed the data, and wrote and revised the manuscript. DW designed the study, conducted the study, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. KJ conducted the study, analyzed the data, and helped write the manuscript. JYH designed the study, conducted the study, analyzed the data, and wrote and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

2. We note that the supplemental table contains potentially identifiable information such as gender and age. It is BMC policy to not publish more than 2 indirect identifiers without explicit consent for publication from the participants, as per this paper (http://trialsjournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1745-6215-11-9). Currently, the age and gender information, in combination with other identifiers, may compromise patient/participant anonymity. Please do not indicate the gender and use age range instead of the exact age (for example use 40-49 instead of 43, 70-79 instead of 70 ) in the table.

=> According to your advice, we deleted the gender information and expressed the age as range in the supplemental table.

Thank you.