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Reviewer’s report:

There is need for extensive review and editing of this paper by a native English speaker. There are multiple inappropriate uses of "the", need for placement and removal of articles such as "a", and "body" examination may need to be changed to physical examination. Also when the authors refer to "saddle area" there is no indication of which part of the body the authors are referencing. There is need to indicate appropriate anatomy as to make the paper more understandable by other physicians.

As to the conclusions drawn; I am not quite sure that there is good evidence to support the patient's mild respiratory issues (which I assume is their OSA) as being the primary culprit behind the NPPE. Is it not just as likely that the patient experienced laryngospasm during inhaled induction (possibly due to inhaled induction in which secretions could have hit the cords)? If this is not a possibility, I would like to see the authors refute this possibility.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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