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Amy Branch-Hollis
Handling Editor,

Dear Amy Branch-Hollis,

Firstly, we appreciate you and editorial members’ suggestions on our manuscript: BANE-D-19-00062R1: Negative pressure pulmonary edema: a case report. Based on your suggestions, we have revised carefully this manuscript and listed responses to your requests one to one as follows:

1. Consent for publication refers to consent for the publication of identifying images or other personal or clinical details of participants that compromise anonymity. Please remove the current statement that is in the Consent for publication section.

Response: According your advice, we remove the current statement that is in the Consent for publication section. (Declaration section, line 3, page 12).

2. Please move the statement regarding parental consent for publication into the Consent for publication section of your manuscript.

Response: The parental consent for publication is moved into the Consent for publication section. (Declaration section, line 6, page 12).

3. In the Consent for publication section, please clarify whether you have obtained written consent for publication from the parents of the child documented in your study.
Response: We have obtained a formal written consent for publication from the parents of the child. (Declaration section, line 6, page 12).

4. Please represent authors' names using their initials, NOT their full name, in the Authors’ Contributions section. If there are any duplicated initials, please differentiate them to make it clear that the initials refer to separate authors.

Response: The authors’ full names are substituted for initial letters of their name. (Declaration section, line 13, page 12).

5. Please consider the list of authors as it currently stands with reference to our guidelines regarding qualification for authorship (http://www.biomedcentral.com/submissions/editorial-policies#authorship). Currently, the contributions of both authors do not automatically qualify them for authorship. In the section “Authors’ contributions”, please provide further clarifications on their contributions, and see our guidelines for authorship below. An 'author' is generally considered to be someone who has made substantive intellectual contributions to a published study. Authors are expected to fulfil the criteria below (adapted from McNutt et al., Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, Feb 2018, 201715374; DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1715374115; licensed under CC BY 4.0). Each author is expected to have made substantial contributions to the conception OR design of the work; OR the acquisition, analysis, OR interpretation of data; OR the creation of new software used in the work; OR have drafted the work or substantively revised it. AND to have approved the submitted version (and any substantially modified version that involves the author's contribution to the study). AND to have agreed both to be personally accountable for the author's own contributions and to ensure that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work, even ones in which the author was not personally involved, are appropriately investigated, resolved, and the resolution documented in the literature. Acquisition of funding, collection of data or general supervision of the research group, alone, does not usually justify authorship. If these guidelines are not met, we would request the following change of authorship form be filled out and sent to our editorial office. The change of authorship form can be found here: https://resource-cms.springernature.com/springer-cms/rest/v1/content/7454878/data/v5.

Response: JX and YXS were responsible for the child’s treatment. They interpreted her chest X-ray and made decision to report the case in English. Both of them contribute to the design and conduct of this work. The manuscript has been written by JX, it has been read and approved by JX and YXS. Both of them attest to the validity and legitimacy of data, and agree to the modifications made on this new revision. (Declaration section, line 13, page 12).

6. Please remove the CARE checklist, Response to reviewers and the additional declarations document from the file inventory as these are no longer needed at this time.

Response: CARE checklist, Response to reviewers and the additional declarations document from the file inventory have been removed.
7. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

Response: Revised manuscript and figures are uploaded according with your request.

8. We do not know whether this new revised manuscript will achieve the sufficient level for publication in BMC Anesthesiology. Should you require any further revision and information with your warm heart to inform us at your convenience, we shall be happy to do it. Thank you and looking forward to receiving an early favorable reply.

Sincerely,

Jun Xiong, MD

Yongxing Sun, MD

Department of Anaesthesiology, Sanbo Brain Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, People's Republic of China.
Tel. 86-13521697866
Email. B2008194@126.com