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Author’s response to reviews:

Thank you for the positive comments concerning our manuscript and thank you for considering it eligible for publication in your prestigious journal once the requested changes have been made.

We have performed all the minor revisions as suggested by the Editor and we have uploaded the final version of the manuscript.

Answers to Editor:

1. Please consider the list of authors as it currently stands with reference to our guidelines regarding qualification for authorship (http://www.biomedcentral.com/submissions/editorial-policies#authorship).

Currently, the contributions of authors, CB and DF, do not automatically qualify them for authorship. In the section “Authors’ contributions”, please provide further clarifications on their contributions, and see our guidelines for authorship below.

An 'author' is generally considered to be someone who has made substantive intellectual contributions to a published study. Authors are expected to fulfil the criteria below (adapted from McNutt et al., Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, Feb 2018, 201715374; DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1715374115; licensed under CC BY 4.0):
Each author is expected to have made substantial contributions to the conception OR design of the work; OR the acquisition, analysis, OR interpretation of data; OR the creation of new software used in the work; OR have drafted the work or substantively revised it

AND to have approved the submitted version (and any substantially modified version that involves the author's contribution to the study);

AND to have agreed both to be personally accountable for the author's own contributions and to ensure that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work, even ones in which the author was not personally involved, are appropriately investigated, resolved, and the resolution documented in the literature.

Acquisition of funding, collection of data or general supervision of the research group, alone, does not usually justify authorship.

If these guidelines are not met, we would request the following change of authorship form be filled out and sent to our editorial office. The change of authorship form can be found here: https://resource-cms.springernature.com/springer-cms/rest/v1/content/7454878/data/v5

The authors CB and DF have both substantively revised the manuscript AND approved the submitted version. They are authors with the same characteristics and merits of all other, but moreover CB contributed to performing anaesthesia and DF contributed to performing the neurosurgical operation. Sorry, for the incomplete statement in the manuscript. I have integrated the information in the Author's contributions section in line 177 page 7

2. Please remove the CARE checklist, response to reviewers and the cover letter as these are no longer needed at this time.

Done

3. We note that the current submission contains some textual overlap with other previously published works, in particular:

Anesthetic Complications in Pregnancy; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccc.2015.08.009

This overlap mainly exists in the Background section.

While we understand that you may wish to express some of the same ideas contained in these publications, please be aware that we cannot condone the use of text from previously published work.

Please re-phrase this section to minimise overlap.
All part in the background section have the appropriate references. However, as requested we have re-phrase the Background section in line 60-67.

4. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

Done