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Reviewer’s report:

Thank you for the opportunity to review your manuscript.

I am very interested in this subject. Although the manuscript was considerably improved after the authors revised, I think this version of the manuscript is still insufficient. The authors should refer the instruction for authors and STROBE guideline (https://www.strobe-statement.org/index.php?id=strobe-home, https://www.strobe-statement.org/index.php?id=available-checklists). If further revisions are repeated, this manuscript might be acceptable. Please consider, is the evidence level of this study acceptable in this journal?

Trade names are insufficient.

Abbreviations are insufficient.

Tables are not placed at the end of the document text file.

Explanations of tables are insufficient.

Distinction of "." and "," in tables

Ambiguity of novelty (novelty of this study should be emphasized.)

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal