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Reviewer’s report:

The manuscript seems to be improved after revision. However, there are some issues that preclude acceptance in the current form.

Methods: Please indicate both dates, start and end of the study. Please specify training level of physicians who inserted LMA. Experience may interfere with insertion performance. (Ref. Perilli V, Aceto P, Sacco T, Martella N, Cazzato MT, Sollazzi L. Suction catheter guided insertion of ProSeal laryngeal mask airway: Experience by untrained physicians. Indian J Anaesth. 2014 Jan;58(1):25-9.). The observational design weaken the conclusion drawn. This should be highlighted in the discussion section.

Results: please remove Hospital name and date from the tables.

Statistics: "A p value of <0.05 with power of 80% was regarded as statistically significant." Please clarify. Bonferroni correction should be applied in case of multiple comparisons. Power analysis should be included in the statistics section.

The language is very poor and needs to be accurately revised by a native speakers.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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