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The abstract has not been adapted to the manuscript for the changes requested by the two reviewers.

While the authors have partially conducted the post-hoc analyses as requested in table 2, neither the text in the abstract, nor the text in the results section has been adapted.

Please change the manuscript accordingly and report adjusted p-values for all study results where you state, that a treatment group was superior to another. For example the second paragraph in the results section still states that the first attempt success rate was higher in CVS-V and DL compared to CVS-L group, which it is not according to the text found in the legend of table 2.

Please revise the entire manuscript (including all sections) according to the suggestions of Dr. Knotzer (Reviewer 2) and my own comments.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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