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Reviewer's report:

Dear authors;

Please delete "44 patients" from the result part. Those 2 patients are already in exclusion criteria, you can not say that you have included and then excluded them. Just write 42 patients were enrolled and there is no dropout. Otherwise, it will not be clear and not be same as the methods part (21 patients in each group).

Please also correct Figure 1 accordingly. Include 42 patients and no exclusion.

On the other hand; when you use author name in a sentence, please place the ref number after the name. If there is no name, put the ref no at the end of the sentence. Review the whole manuscript considering this suggestion.

Best regards;

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.
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I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal