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"REVISION ASSESSMENT FROM THE ACADEMIC PEER REVIEWER:

Has the author addressed your concerns sufficiently for you to now recommend the work as a technically sound contribution? Yes

Reviewer comments: 1. Ethics committee, not 'ethical committee' - please also include city, province and country of your institution.

2. The quality of the English still requires some attention, mostly in the new sections. For example, "In this study, patients underwent swallowing assessment if they were: i) conscious on admission; ii) had not undergone tracheal intubation on admission; and iii)..." (page 12, line 26). Please clarify criterion iii, as it does not make sense at present - do you mean gastric intubation? Then, "Dysphagia was defined as a GUSS score..." There are several other examples. Did your colleague also review the new text?

3. Please add units to the times quoted on page 14 lines 6 to 12."

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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