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Reviewer's report:

As this is the randomized, double clinical trial, it will be better to describe the manuscript according to CONSORT checklists, and to mention it in the manuscript. http://www.consort-statement.org/checklists/view/32--consort-2010/66-title

Background

Please describe published intubation challenges and proposed solutions for this study population

Methods

Please expand the rationale of the power analysis and explain/cite which previous study they are referring to.

Describe a what moment the parents were consented

Define "patient background" Line 13

Please specify the randomization method

Results

Define how "correlation" was calculated

Consider the use of 3-4 tables total, there are a few tables that could be joined (Table 1 and 2, table 4 and 5)

Table 1: Please move-up demographic variables and then cleft information below

Fig 1: CONSORT is misspelled as "CONSOLT", please rectify. Follow-up are described in this table, but no in material and methods, please clarify if follow-ups were performed or not.

Conclusion: Line 35: consider replacing the word "is" with "could be"
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
Needs some language corrections before being published
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