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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editor,

Thank you for advising us step by step to improve this manuscript.

1 Trial Registration

We have registered this study at Clinical Trials Registry Platform with the Identification-number NCT03251105. That is why it took us so long to answer to your kind comments. We have added the required information about the trial registry into the Methods-section:

This prospective randomised study was approved by the Governmental Ethics Committee of Sisli Hamidiye Etfal Education and Research Hospital (SEEAH/26.04.2016/659) and retrospectively registered at the Clinical Trials Protocol Registration and Result System with the Identification Number NCT03251105/15.08.2017; verbal and written informed consent was obtained from the parents of all infants participating in the study.

2 Title page

We have added a Title page.
3 Formatting

We have added a Conclusion-section to our manuscript.

Conclusion

The results of the present study indicate that the ProSeal LMA is superior to the Supreme LMA for use in infants due to its ease of insertion, high oropharyngeal leakage pressure and fewer induced haemodynamic changes.

4 Consent for publication

We have stated "Not applicable" in this section.

5 Funding

We have added following statement:

Funding was provided from departmental sources of the Sisli Hamidiye Etfal Education and Research Hospital Anaesthesiology and Reanimation Department and from individual sources from all of the authors.

6 Authors' contributions

AID was also the surgeon, who made the operations. So we added this information to our Author’s contributions.

SO designed the study, collected data and wrote the manuscript. HST collected data and performed statistical analyses. CTI wrote the manuscript and assisted with the statistical analyses. HE and PS collected data. AID performed the surgical interventions, reviewed the manuscript and provided the final approval of the manuscript.

7 Supplementary files

We have removed the not required files.
We have submitted the clean copy.

Reviewer reports:

Neerja Bhardwaj, MD (Reviewer 1): The queries have been suitably answered. However, language issues still remain.

Dear Neerja Bhardwaj,

We have sent this manuscript to Springer Nature Author Services for language editing as recommended from BMC Anesthesiology with the Key number: 64A8-080C-E838-AEFE-5985. We hope this will approve the quality of our manuscript’s language.

Thank you for all your attraction to our manuscript.

Best Regards,

Canan Tulay Isil