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Reviewer's report:

Dear Authors,

That you for an interesting document with 4 cases

1) Are there any potential setbacks with this technique for this category of parents?

2) There are some errors regarding choice of "words" or grammatical errors such as:

   .....page 3, line 27  "couldn't " use "could not"
   .....page 5, line 52, 54  "didn't" use "did not". There are more instances of this, please check

3) page 2, line 50 "immobilized neck unable.." use "rigid neck incapable..."
   page 3, line 19 "..was shifted to.."    use "..was replaced by...
   page 4, line 27  "...at the level where shows..."     use "at the level that showed...
   page 5, line 11  "....afterwards, 30 ml of 0.4% ropivacaine was slowly..."   use "..followed by 30 ml 0.4% ropivacaine that was slowly..."
   page 8, line 35  "According to the text book,..."   use 'According to Miller's Anesthesia,..."

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown? 
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review? 
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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