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Dear Dr. Hisham Hosny,

Thank you very much for giving us the opportunity to revise my article entitled “Regional Anesthesia and Lipid Resuscitation for Local Anesthetic Systemic Toxicity in China: Results of a Survey by the Orthopedic Anesthesia Group of the Chinese Society of Anesthesiology” again. We have revised the manuscript carefully according to the three reviewers’ insightful suggestions. We thank you and the three reviewers for all of what you have done for my paper.

Here are my specific responses to the reviewers:

**Respond to Reviewer # 1’s comments**

No revisions necessary.

Response: Thanks.

**Respond to Reviewer # 2’s comments**

The required modifications have been fulfilled by the author. However the following minor essential revisions should be applied to the article by the author:

- Page 5, line 90: please change "used" into "use";
- Page 12, line 246: please change "hiher" into "higher";
- Page 12, line 248-249: please change the sentence "Nevertheless, wether there is a negative correlation between use of ultrasound guidance and LAST in the present study need further research" into "Nevertheless, whether there is a negative correlation between the use of ultrasound guidance and LAST or not is not presented in the current study and requires further research”.

Response: Thanks. We have revised all of above points according to your suggestion and comments.

**Respond to Reviewer # 3’s comments**

Minor essential comments:
In figure (1), the summation of all percentages exceeded 100%.

Response: Because there were 5 (14%) responding departments selected multiple drugs as the preferred anesthetics, the summation of all percentages of drugs exceeded 100%. We have explained this point in line 144-147, page 7 in the last revised manuscript. Again, we added another sentence “So the summation of all percentages exceeded 100%” in line 147 at this time.

We, again, thank you for your kind consideration of our manuscript and the comments and suggestions from these excellent reviewers. I would be happy to answer any further questions from you.

Sincerely,

Xiangyang Guo

Guo Xiangyang, MD, Ph.D, Department of Anesthesiology, Peking University Third Hospital, No. 49, North Garden Street, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191 China. Tel: 8610-82267276; E-mail: puthmzk@163.com.