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Reviewer's report:

Major revision:
1. The title "Systematic reviews on interventions published in Chinese Journal Titled “Evidence-Based”: an evaluation of the quality of reporting" donot match with the content. It is not related with the review about interventions. It is about the quality of these paper.
2. The abstract should be improved. In the results part, "The stratified analysis showed that SRs/MAs written by 3 authors, researchers, clinicians and researchers cooperation, multiple affiliations (#2), and funded articles can improve the quality of reporting of SRs/MAs" is not clear. What are a funded articles (I believe it is because of the English writing. English writing should be improved too).
3. The conclusion "The contribution was valuable for selected four journals titled “evidence-based” in learning, exchanging and disseminating the systematic reviews in China" donot match with the content of the manuscript. There is no data about the content " learning, exchanging and disseminating".
4. The stratified analysis by authorship, units and funding could reflect aspects of quality of paper, but no direct relationship with the quality of SRs/MAs, it is not similar with the quality of RCT.
5. English should be improved.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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